4-SPIRO[2.n]ALKYL CATIONS

Douglas E. Applequist and William A. Bernett

Department of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering
University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois 61801
(Received in USA 20 March 1968; received in UK for publication 26 March 1968)

The 4-spiro[2.n]alkyl cations (I) represent a class of cations in which stabilization

by bicyclobutonium type bridging (I) might be electronically as favorable as in the parent cyclopropylcarbinyl cation, since in each case the contributing cyclobutyl cation structure is stabilized by one alkyl group more than the contributing cyclopropylcarbinyl structure (3° and 2°, respectively in I; 2° and 1°, respectively, in bicyclobutonium ion itself). On the other hand, bicyclobutonium ion bridging in I should be subject to severe steric restrictions when n is small, and a study of the extra driving force provided by the 3-ring in the formation of I, as a function of n, could provide evidence for or against bicyclobutonium ion bridging.

Besides the present work, there are numerous data on rates of reactions leading to I

(2). Missing until now have been satisfactory comparisons of the spirohexyl (II) and spiroheptyl (III) systems. The rates of the <u>p</u>-nitrobenzoates have been measured in 60% aqueous acetone, to obtain the first-order constants shown below the formulae. The rate for III is

in good agreement with the value 3.36×10^{-4} obtained by interpolation of the data of Kraporez (2d). The relative values for II and III are also in good agreement with those of Wiberg and Hiatt (3).

The most serious problem in interpretation of the rate constants obtained from II

and other apirohexy! derivatives is the absence of an unambiguous standard for the unassisted solvelysis of a cyclobuty: derivative. We have previously (2a) proposed three empirical models for the relative rates of cyclopenty! and "classical" cyclobuty! solvelyses, namely the relative rates of the tertiary immathylogologically! chlorides, the relative rates calculated from the heats of hydrogenation of methylonocyclopentane and methylonocyclopentane, and the relative rates of cyclopenty! chloride and 5-chlorospiro[3.2]hexane (10). The rate ratios

of cyclopenty! to "classical" cyclobuty! from these three models are, respectively, 195, 31 and 567 at 95°. Additional support for the use of IV as a mage! for unassisted cyclobuty! Is now found in the fact that the hydrolysis product in the presence of lithium carbonate is exclusively the unrearranged alcohol V.

If the foregoing models for unassisted cyclobuty! solvelysis are used to compare the rates of spiro compounds II and III, it is seen that the rate enhancement due to the cyclomopane ring in III is 4 to 74 times that in II at 95°. This effect is in the direction expected for some staric restriction of bicyclobutonium ion formation in the case of II, but the effect is so small and the uncertainty of the models so great that he compalling argument for bicyclobutonium ion formation can be adduced.

The products of hydrolysis of 4-chiorospirohexane are as shown below:

These are in good agreement with the similar study of Wibarg and Hight (3). The similarity to the array of products from the spirohepty: system (3, 4) adds validity to the comparison of the rates of II and III.

Previously (2a), an attempt was made to compare the cyclopropyl participation in spiropentyl chloride (V) with that in 4-chlorospirohexane. The quantitative validity of the comparteen, even assuming the accuracy of the models for unassisted rates, must now be questioned by the discovery that the exclusive product of hydrolysis of V is 2-hydroxymethylbutadiene (VI). The hydrolysis is thus like that of cyclopropyl chloride, giving ring epening to an

allylic cation, rather than like that of cyclopropylcarbinyl chloride. The rate is therefore a maximum for solvolysis with cyclopropylcarbinyl participation (with or without bicyclobutonium character). Interestingly, the deamination of spiropentylamine gives products quite in keeping with cyclopropylcarbinyl character of the cation (5).

This research was supported in part by a grant from the National Science Foundation.

REFERENCES

- R. H. Mazur, W. N. White, D. A. Semenow, C. C. Lee, M. S. Silver, and J. D. Roberts,
 J. Am. Chem. Soc., 81, 4390 (1959).
- 2. a. D. E. Applequist and J. A. Landgrebe, ibid., 86, 1543 (1964).
 - b. T. Tsuji, I. Moritani, S. Nishida, and G. Tadokoro, <u>Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan</u>, <u>40</u>, 2344 (1967).
 - c. M. Hanack and H. J. Schneider, Ann., 686, 8 (1965).
 - d. A. P. Krapcho, private communication; for III, $k = 4.95 \times 10^{-5}$ at 75°, 5.36×10^{-4} at 100° .
- 3. K. B. Wiberg and J. E. Hiatt, Tetrahedron Letters, accompanying publication.
- 4. W. D. Closson and G. T. Kwiatkowski, Tetrahedron, 21, 2779 (1965).
- 5. D. E. Applequist and G. F. Fanta, <u>J. Am. Chem. Soc.</u>, <u>82</u>, 6393 (1960).

•